

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated 30 September 2004. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official Action, Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the combination of elements which form the invention of the subject Patent Application and Claim 2 has been cancelled by this Amendment. Additionally, Claims 3 – 6 have been amended to change the dependency thereof.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings because the recess 70 and holes 710 described in the Specification, were not shown. Accordingly, a corrected formal drawing has been submitted herewith. In Fig. 11, the recess that was identified in the Specification with the reference numeral 70 and a hole identified with the reference numeral 710 in the Specification were shown, but not designated with the reference numerals. Therefore, a corrected formal drawing of Fig. 11 has been submitted with the reference numerals indicated. Thus, it is believed that the corrected formal drawing obviates the objection to the drawings.

In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to the disclosure due to several informalities therein. Accordingly, the Specification has been amended to correct the informalities kindly noted by the Examiner as well as to others that were found therein. No new matter has been added by these changes.


In the Official Action, the Examiner objected to Claim 1 due to several informalities therein and rejected Claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as being anticipated by either Tseng, U.S. Patent No. 6,378,824, or Liang, U.S. Patent No. 6,692,233. However, the Examiner kindly indicated that Claims 2 – 12 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of Claim 2 therein, thereby effectively rewriting Claim 2 in independent form, including all the limitations of the base claim, Claim 1, and any intervening claims, which there were none. Thus, Claim 1 and the claims dependent thereon should now be allowable.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FOR ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE


David I. Klein
Registration #33,253

Dated: 29 Dec. 2004

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee
Suite 101
3458 Ellicott Center Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
(410) 465-6678

Customer No.
04586

AMENDMENT TO THE DRAWINGS

The attached drawing sheet includes a change to Fig. 11. The drawing sheet of Fig. 11 replaces the original sheet that included Fig. 11 thereon. In Fig. 11, the previously omitted reference numbers "70" and "710" have been added.

Attachment: One replacement sheet.